



Crossbench Hereditary Peers' By-election, June 2005: Result

In the by-election to replace the late Baroness Strange a total of 28 valid votes were cast. There were no spoilt ballot papers. There were 29 Crossbench hereditary peers who were eligible to vote. Of the 26 candidates, 7 received one or more first-preference votes. After 5 transfers of votes, the voting for the final 2 candidates was as follows:

The Earl of Effingham	8
Viscount Montgomery of Alamein	11

The successful candidate was therefore **Viscount Montgomery of Alamein**.

Overleaf are details of the votes cast for each candidate at each stage of the count. The left-hand column lists all 26 candidates. The next column shows the number of first-preference votes for each candidate. Subsequent columns (which are numbered) show the number of votes for each candidate after each transfer of votes from excluded candidates. An **X** in a column shows that the candidate concerned was excluded at that stage. The penultimate row shows the total number of votes at each stage, i.e. after excluding any votes which could not be transferred because no further preference was marked. The last row shows the number of votes required at that stage for a candidate to be elected, i.e. half the total (rounded up).

A note on the voting system

- The election was conducted using the alternative vote system (also known as preferential voting).
- Under this system, voters rank in order as many candidates as they wish.
- Initially only first-preference votes are counted.
- If the vote for any one candidate equals or exceeds the votes of all the other candidates combined, that candidate is elected.
- Otherwise the votes for the candidate or candidates with fewest votes are transferred to whichever of the continuing candidates is marked by that voter as their next available preference, and the votes thus transferred are added to the first preference votes of those candidates. The process is repeated until one candidate has as many votes as all the other remaining candidates combined.
- Any paper on which no further preference is marked is set aside as non-transferable.

The poll was supervised and the count was conducted by Electoral Reform Services.

23 June 2005

PAUL HAYTER
Clerk of the Parliaments

Results of House of Lords hereditary peers' by-election, 23 June 2005

Candidate	1st-preference	Votes after transfers				
	s	1	2	3	4	5
L. Amherst of Hackney	0 X					
L. Birdwood	0 X					
L. Birkett	0 X					
L. Carew	0 X					
E. Clancarty	5	5	5	5	6	X
L. Clifford of Chudleigh	0 X					
E. Cromer	0 X					
L. Cromwell	0 X					
E. Drogheda	0 X					
E. Effingham	4	4	5	6	7	8
L. Gladwyn	2	3	3	X		
L. Glanusk	0 X					
E. Iveagh	2	2	X			
E. Kimberley	0 X					
V. Leathers	0 X					
L. Mereworth	0 X					
L. Milford	1	X				
V. Montgomery of Alamein	11	11	11	11	11	11
L. Norrie	0 X					
V. Oxfuird	0 X					
D. Rutland	0 X					
V. Samuel	0 X					
E. Stair	3	3	4	4	X	
L. Sudeley	0 X					
L. Tryon	0 X					
L. Vernon	0 X					
VOTES EXCLUDED AT EACH STAGE		0	0	2	2	5
TOTAL CONTINUING VOTES AT EACH STAGE	28	28	28	26	24	19
VOTES NEEDED IN ORDER TO BE ELECTED	14	14	14	13	12	10