

**How to Petition against Additional Provisions on a Hybrid Bill  
in the House of Commons**



**Session 2014–15**

## Contents

What is a hybrid bill?.....	3
What is a petition? .....	3
Who may petition?.....	3
When and where should the petition be presented?.....	3
What should be the form and content of petitions? .....	3
Representation .....	5
Fees.....	5
'Locus standi' – standing of petitioners to petition .....	5
Withdrawal of petitions .....	5
Further information.....	6
Further Enquiries .....	6
APPENDIX A: TEMPLATE PETITION AGAINST A HYBRID BILL.....	7
APPENDIX B: EXAMPLE PETITION AGAINST A HYBRID BILL – TAKEN FROM CROSSRAIL PROCEEDINGS.....	9
APPENDIX C: COVER SHEET .....	16
APPENDIX D: LIST OF ROLL A PARLIAMENTARY AGENTS .....	18
APPENDIX E: SPECIMEN LETTER OF AUTHORITY .....	19
APPENDIX F: FORM OF APPLICATION FOR ROLL B PARLIAMENTARY AGENTS (USUAL FORM) .....	20
APPENDIX G: CERTIFICATE OF RESPECTABILITY .....	20
APPENDIX H: FORM OF APPLICATION FOR ROLL B AGENTS (SOLICITORS AND THOSE PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED).....	22

## HOW TO PETITION AGAINST A HYBRID BILL IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS

### What is a hybrid bill and what are additional provisions?

A hybrid bill is a parliamentary bill which has some features of a public bill and some of a private bill. Public bills concern the public general law of the land, which affects everybody. Private bills, by contrast, affect particular individuals, institutions or localities differently from the provisions of the general law. Also, whereas public bills are introduced by a Government Minister or a backbench MP, private bills are promoted by an outside body such as a company or a local authority.

A hybrid bill is a public bill which affects private interests in the same way that a private bill would, and which is therefore deemed suitable for a similar parliamentary process—one that allows specially affected persons to petition against it. It is usually introduced by the Government. The most well-known hybrid bill in recent years has been that to build Crossrail.

*Additional provisions are amendments to the Bill which may, for instance, confer additional powers to acquire land. They can be petitioned against in the same as the provisions of the Bill as originally presented.*

### What is a petition?

A petition is a summary of objections to particular aspects of a hybrid bill. Formally, it is a request to the House of Commons for the petitioner to be allowed to argue his case, in due course, before the select committee on the bill. This committee will hear the petitioners' cases. (The bill subsequently proceeds through a public bill committee which considers the bill clause by clause, as if it were an ordinary public bill. Because this stage is not concerned with the defence of private interests, petitioners are not represented.) A further select committee stage will be held when the bill passes through the House of Lords.

### Who may petition?

It is open to any individual, group of individuals or organisation “*directly and specially affected*” by the provisions of a hybrid bill to petition against that bill. Campaign groups not composed of individuals directly and specially affected by the bill, but which simply oppose the principle of it, cannot petition on the basis of their opposition alone. If the promoters of a bill feel that someone who petitions against a bill is not “*directly and specially affected*” they may object to the petitioners' right to petition (see ‘*locus standi*’ below).

### When and where should the petition be presented?

The timetable for receiving petitions in the House of Commons will be decided by the House. Information on when and where petitions against the bill can be deposited will be made available on the parliamentary website at:

<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/high-speed-rail-london-west-midlands-bill-select-committee-commons/>

### What should be the form and content of petitions?

The petition should begin by setting out the bill title; who the petitioner is; the provisions of the Bill objected to; the particular damage caused to the petitioner by the bill; and the form of relief sought by the petitioner, including amendments to clauses. Since the petition forms the basis of a petitioner's case before a select committee, petitions should include all the points of objection a petitioner has against the bill. **Matters can only be raised in the committee if they are alluded to in the original**

**petition.** However, there is no need to elaborate objections in great detail: they can be stated in concise form.

Petitioners may, if they wish, send a draft petition to the Private Bill Office (details below) for comment.

The formal wording required for petitions to the House of Commons should broadly follow that of the template petition attached as Appendix A. An example of an actual petition is at Appendix B.

Please note that

- (a) **the short title of the bill concerned should appear on the petition, and a statement should appear in the petition that it is against the bill, together with the names of the signatories to the petition, or their Agent;**
- (b) the petition should be addressed “To the Honourable the Commons of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland in Parliament assembled”;
- (c) the petition should include sufficient details of the petitioner’s address to support the petitioner’s case that they are directly and specially affected (*full address details can if desired be confined to the cover sheet, which will not be published*);
- (d) **no crossings out or underlining should appear in the petition;** and no letter, affidavit, appendix or other document may be annexed to it;
- (e) **the petition must contain a prayer** (that is, a formal request that Parliament should take certain action, followed by the traditional words “And your Petitioner(s) will ever pray, &c.” – see the end of the specimen petition);
- (f) if the petitioners wish to be represented by Counsel, or by someone else, a clause to this effect should appear in the prayer, and in the former case **the endorsement of the petition should contain the words “Against – By Counsel”** (this does not commit the petitioners to employing barristers, but leaves the option open);
- (g) **the petition must be either signed by the parties who are petitioning against the bill, or signed on their behalf by their duly authorised agent** (see “Agents” below). If the petition is signed by the agent the authority in writing must accompany the petition. If a limited company or corporation or local authority is a petitioner against the bill, and if the petition is not signed on behalf of the petitioner by a duly authorised agent, the common seal of that company, corporation or local authority should be affixed to the petition, and attested in the usual way by the appropriate officers, e.g. The Company Secretary and a director. The first signature or seal must be on the same parchment or paper as the prayer or part of the prayer;
- (h) the petition should be typed, printed, or clearly handwritten on single-sided A4 paper;
- (i) **the petition should be accompanied by a cover sheet in the form of Appendix C.**

## Representation

If petitioners are not intending to act themselves, they should instruct an agent who will act on their behalf in all matters relating to the petition. There are two types of agents: professional Parliamentary Agents are known as “Roll A” agents, all others as “Roll B” agents. For a list of firms entitled to practise as Roll A agents including those available to act for petitioners against HS2, see Appendix D.

Petitioners in person do not have to appoint an agent. Corporations, associations and groups must appoint a Roll A or Roll B agent unless they choose to be represented by a member or officer authorised as below.

If you appoint a Roll A agent they will look after procedure for you.

The procedure for being represented by a Roll B agent is as follows. Any individual or group of individuals wishing to be so represented should sign a letter authorising a named individual to act on his or their behalf, which should be presented at the Private Bill Office when the petition is deposited. Any organisation petitioning against a bill should hold a properly constituted meeting and pass a resolution authorising the deposit of a petition against the bill and authorising a named person to act on behalf of the organisation. A letter containing such a resolution should then be presented at the Private Bill Office when the petition is deposited.

See Appendices E to H for the documents to be used in connection with Roll B applications. **Most applicants will need to complete the forms at E, F and G. Solicitors and those who have been previously registered should complete forms E and H (not G).**

Certificates of respectability should be signed by one of the following:

- an MP
- a Justice of the Peace
- a barrister
- a solicitor

Your MP can also deposit your petition for you.

## Fees

A £20.00 fee is payable on presentation of a petition unless such a fee has already been paid in relation to the Bill.

## ‘Locus standi’ – standing of petitioners to petition

‘Locus standi’ can be defined as the right of a petitioner to be heard against the bill on the grounds that he or she is specially, directly and injuriously affected by its provisions. If the promoters challenge a petitioner's locus standi, the matter will be argued before the select committee, who will decide for or against the petitioner's right to appear.

## Withdrawal of petitions

Petitions may be withdrawn by a letter to the Private Bill Office (formally known as a ‘requisition’) to that effect, signed by the petitioner or his/her agent,

If a petition has been deposited by or on behalf of more than one person, any one of those persons may withdraw the petition.

**Further information**

There is a House of Commons Library Factsheet on hybrid bills:

<http://www.parliament.uk/briefing-papers/SN06736>

There is also a set of FAQs on the High Speed 2 hybrid bill:

<http://www.parliament.uk/business/bills-and-legislation/current-bills/previous-bills/hybrid-bills/hybrid-bill-faqs/>

Additional information is also available on the HS2 Select Committee website:

<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/high-speed-rail-london-west-midlands-bill-select-committee-commons/>

**Further Enquiries**

Further enquiries should be directed to Mr Neil Caulfield in the Private Bill Office (☐020 7219 3250 [prbohoc@parliament.uk](mailto:prbohoc@parliament.uk)), who can provide impartial advice on hybrid bill procedure.

Private Bill Office  
House of Commons  
LONDON SW1A 0AA

10 September 2014

## APPENDIX A: TEMPLATE PETITION AGAINST A HYBRID BILL

IN PARLIAMENT  
HOUSE OF COMMONS  
SESSION 2014–15

HIGH SPEED RAIL (LONDON – WEST MIDLANDS) BILL (ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS)

Against – on Merits – [By Counsel], &c.

To the Honourable the Commons of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland in Parliament assembled.

THE HUMBLE PETITION of [Name of individual, company or association].

SHEWETH as follows:-

- 1 A Bill (hereinafter referred to as “the bill”) has been introduced and is now pending in your honourable House intituled “A bill to make provision for [INSERT WORDING FROM BILL].
- 2 The Bill is presented by Mr Secretary McLoughlin.
- 3 [Brief description of the additional provisions to the bill to which the objection is to be made.]
- 4 Your Petitioners are [Description of Petitioners: e.g. “Your Petitioner is an owner of property in ...”, “Your Petitioners are the ... Society, an association of the residents of ... Road, established in ... to ...”; together with an indication of the Petitioners’ property, etc. which the Bill may directly and specially affect].
- 5 Your Petitioners and their rights, interests and property [delete as necessary] are injuriously affected by the Bill, to which your Petitioners object for reasons amongst others, hereinafter appearing.
- 6 [Clear statement of the reasons why the Petitioners object to the additional provisions.]
- 7 For the foregoing and connected reasons your Petitioners respectfully submit that, unless the Bill is amended as proposed above, clauses ..., so far affecting your Petitioners, should not be allowed to pass into law.
- 8 There are other clauses and provisions of the Bill which, if passed into law as they now stand will prejudicially affect your Petitioners and their rights, interests and property [delete as necessary] and for which no adequate provision is made to protect your Petitioners.

YOUR PETITIONERS therefore humbly pray your Honourable House that the Bill may not be allowed to pass into law as it now stands and that they may be heard by their Counsel, Agents and witnesses in support of the allegations of this Petition against so much of the Bill as affects the property, rights and interests of your Petitioners and in support of such other clauses and provisions as may be necessary or expedient for their protection, or that such other relief may be given to your Petitioner in the premises as your Honourable House shall deem meet.

AND your Petitioners will ever pray, &c.

[Signature of Petitioner in person, or Agent for the Petitioner]

---

**NOTE**

**The wording given above may be varied, except for the opening section up to and including numbered paragraph 2, and the prayer at the end starting “YOUR PETITIONERS therefore humbly pray”, which are standard forms for addressing the House of Commons.**



BACKSHEET:

IN PARLIAMENT  
HOUSE OF COMMONS  
SESSION 2014-15

HIGH SPEED RAIL (LONDON - WEST MIDLANDS) BILL (ADDITIONAL  
PROVISIONS)

PETITION OF [INSERT DETAILS]]

**Against the Bill – On Merits – By Counsel &c**

**APPENDIX B: EXAMPLE PETITION AGAINST A HYBRID BILL – TAKEN FROM CROSSRAIL PROCEEDINGS**

IN PARLIAMENT  
HOUSE OF  
COMMONS  
SESSION 2005-  
2006

**CROSSRAIL BILL****PETITION**

Against the Bill – On Merits - Praying to be heard by counsel, &c.

TO THE HONOURABLE THE COMMONS OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND IN PARLIAMENT ASSEMBLED.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF THE CROSSRAIL ACTION GROUP

SHEWETH as follows:-

1. A Bill (hereinafter called "the Bill") has been introduced into and is now pending in your honourable House intituled "A Bill to make provision for a railway transport system running from Maidenhead, in the County of Berkshire, and Heathrow Airport, in the London Borough of Hillingdon, through central London to Shenfield, in the County of Essex, and Abbey Wood in the London Borough of Greenwich and for connected purposes".
2. The Bill is presented by Mr Secretary Darling, supported by The Prime Minister, Mr Chancellor of the Exchequer, Secretary Margaret Beckett, Mr Secretary Hain, Secretary Alan Johnson, Secretary Tessa Jowell, and Derek Twigg.
3. Clauses 1 to 20 set out the Bill's objectives in relation to the construction and operation of the railway transport system mentioned in paragraph 1 above. They include provision for compulsory acquisition, planning permission, heritage issues, trees and noise. Clauses 21 to 44 of the Bill establish a regulatory regime for the railway transport system and clause 45 to 59 of the Bill deal with miscellaneous and general provisions.
4. The works proposed to be authorised by the Bill are specified in Schedule 1 to the Bill and the scheduled works are defined in the Bill as the works specified in Schedule 1 to the Bill which are works authorised to be constructed by the nominated undertaker (defined in the Bill and hereinafter referred to as "the nominated undertaker"). Your petitioners are the Crossrail Action Group (hereinafter referred to as the Petitioners). The Bill would authorise the construction and operation of the railway system and its associated development through Romford and your petitioners object to the part of the works outlined below.

5. Objection is taken to the works proposed to be undertaken in Romford between Whalebone Lane (A112) in the west to Waterloo Road in the east. Those works consist mainly of a train maintenance depot, new sidings, and control centre on land to the south of Sheringham Avenue and Stockland Road, and a new railway line and rail underpass (dive-under) over Westland's Playing Fields and Westland's Rough to access the train depot.

6. Your petitioners are an association of local residents, established in July 2004, who represent the interests of thousands of residents who are directly or indirectly affected by the works referred to above. Their rights, interests and, in some cases, property are injuriously affected by the Bill.

7. Your petitioners do not object in principle to the decision to construct a cross London rail link, but do object to the works proposed to be carried out as referred to above.

#### Use of Existing Depot as Alternative Site

8. Your petitioners aver that it is not necessary to construct a train maintenance depot on the proposed site at Romford. It will be possible to use existing facilities for train maintenance at either Old Oak Common Depot or North Pole Depot on the Great Western Mainline Sites.

9. Additionally, the control centre proposed within the scope of this development could be sited independently from the depot at any new or existing site, space permitting.

10. Your petitioners note the assertion of the promoters that the only viable location for a depot is along the Great Eastern Line because this is where operating services will commence during the staged construction and opening of the railway. However, there is capacity on alternative railway lines in the west of London on which to undertake testing and commissioning of Crossrail services which can be serviced from either the existing depots of Old Oak Common or North Pole, the latter of which is to be vacated by EuroStar in 2007. The staged construction can be carried out from one of these existing depots.

#### Alternative Site for New Depot

11. Alternatively, your petitioners consider that if a depot has to be newly-constructed then a better site leading to fewer and less severe community impacts will be the Brentwood A12 Hotel site, Nags Head Lane, Brentwood.

#### Unacceptable Harm from Construction and Operation of Proposed Romford Depot

##### *Visual Impact*

12. The depot will be constructed on ground which will be raised by 3-4 metres. It is a substantial building which will be visible from numerous viewpoints in the locality. It is extremely close to residential properties and in relation to all properties is on a higher level creating views directly on to the railway line and depot in many cases. It will be overbearing and dominant in the landscape.

13. The new railway and railway dive-under on Westland's playing fields will similarly create unacceptable views for the large number of residents and schoolchildren in this area.

14. Your petitioners are also concerned about the harm to visual and residential amenity from the proposed new access road close to Beechfield Gardens.

*Noise and Disturbance*

15. Your petitioners aver that there will be substantial interference from noise, vibration and increased activity in the vicinity of the train depot and control centre. The activities within the depot include the use of wheel lathes and carriage washing facilities. The noise of train brakes applied during the movement of rolling stock into and out of the sidings, stabling areas and depot will cause serious interference with living conditions. The houses, whose bedrooms are level with the trains, on roads such as Cotleigh and Stockland will in particular suffer substantial interference.

16. Noise arising from the trains as they pass into and out of the dive-under at Westland's playing fields is also a serious concern.

*Light Pollution*

17. It is also averred that there will be serious disturbance from light used to illuminate the depot, surrounding sidings, car parks and access points.

*Loss of Greenbelt and Green Corridor*

18. The loss of the greenbelt land caused by the new railway line and dive-under is of particular concern to your petitioners given the paucity of green areas in Romford and the importance of retaining greenbelt land in this densely-urban location.

19. Furthermore, the land is used as a green corridor for flora and fauna and should be conserved in the interests of nature conservation. Its loss would be contrary to the London bio-diversity plan.

*Loss of Recreational Land and Open Space and Loss of Useable Subway*

20. Your petitioners consider that the loss of use of Westland's Playing Fields, Westland's Rough and Jutsums recreation ground both during the period of construction and thereafter is unacceptable and would conflict with current government policy which encourages an increase in mass participation in sport and physical activity. In addition it will significantly diminish the opportunity of schoolchildren to undertake outdoor sports.

21. The extension to the well used Nursery Walk underpass required by this development will create an indefensible space that will not be conducive to pedestrian safety especially at night.

22. The addition of a second bridge spanning Jutsums lane will exacerbate an already precarious but necessary route for pedestrians due to the lack of protection it affords from fast moving road traffic.

*Overdevelopment of the Locality*

23. Your petitioners consider that the construction of the depot and control centre and their subsequent operation will lead to overdevelopment of this part of the Borough. The residents have borne numerous developments and redevelopments in a small intensive area including an extensive general hospital development and numerous residential projects.

*Costs of Proposed Depot, Underpass and Contaminated Land*

24. Your petitioners are aware that the current predicted cost of the proposed depot, underpass and necessitated ancillary development is £430 million. This inordinately high build cost is due to the development issues expected to be encountered including a contaminated gasometer. The final cost is likely to be much higher and therefore they consider that use of an existing depot would be more cost-effective.

25. Whilst your petitioners appreciate that the return to economic use of contaminated areas of land is generally a benefit, use of this land is not in their view acceptable as this land has reverted to Greenfield status.

*Overprovision of Car Parking Spaces*

26. Your petitioners consider that the depot and control centre will provide an excess of car parking spaces not necessary for those developments. Such overprovision will not be conducive to the use of other transport modes and will positively encourage car use.

*Effect of Construction on Residential Amenity*

27. The time predicted for the construction of this proposed facility in the Romford area is between five and six years. Your petitioners consider that the effects on their living conditions for this length of time are unacceptable.

28. Your Petitioners are concerned that as recently as September 2005 Crossrail announced substantial changes to the proposed works. They have also admitted to a technical error in mapping resulting in misrepresentation of affected areas. As a result of this Crossrail intends to make appropriate amendments to the Bill before Parliament and produce technical revisions after the closing date for the submission of Petitions. The Petitioners also submit that the level of consultation by Crossrail has been inadequate and inconsistent throughout the process to date.

29. In light of the above, the Petitioners reserve the right to raise the above matters and any further matters of concern relating to the substance of the Crossrail Bill and this Petition that may arise from continuing discussions, the preparation and publication of reports, any possible revisions that may be made to current work site proposals or any other matters relevant to our expressed concerns that may occur in due course and prior to our representation before the Select Committee.

30. For the foregoing and connected reasons your petitioners respectfully submit that, unless those clauses of the Bill referring to the proposed depot facility at Romford are removed or amended, then the Bill should not be allowed to pass into law.

31. There are other clauses and provisions in the Bill which, if passed into law as they now stand will prejudicially affect your Petitioners and their rights, (including their human rights) interests and property and for which no provision is made to protect your Petitioners and other clauses and provisions necessary for their protection and benefit are omitted therefrom.

YOUR PETITIONERS THEREFORE HUMBLY PRAY your Honourable House that the Bill may not be allowed to pass into law as it now stands and that they may be heard by their Counsel, Agents and witnesses in support of the allegations of this Petition against such of the clauses and provisions of the Bill as affect the property, rights and interests of your Petitioners and in support of such other clauses and provisions as may be necessary or expedient for their protection, or that such other relief may be given to your Petitioner in the premises as your Honourable House shall deem meet.

AND your Petitioners will ever pray, &c

NAME

Agent for:

Crossrail Action Group

BACKSHEET:

IN PARLIAMENT  
HOUSE OF COMMONS  
SESSION 2005-6

CROSSRAIL BILL  
PETITION OF CROSSRAIL ACTION GROUP

Against the Bill – On Merits – By Counsel &c

## APPENDIX C

## PETITION COVER SHEET

**THIS SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED IN FULL IN BLOCK CAPITALS AND ATTACHED TO THE FRONT OF YOUR PETITION**

**PETITION OF:** .....

<b>Contact details for the Petition</b>	
Title: Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Other:	First Name(s):
Last Name:	
Address line 1:	
Address line 2:	
Address line 3:	
Town:	County:
Post code:	
Daytime telephone:	Mobile:
Email address:	

<b>Contact details of Agent for the Petition if applicable</b>	
Title: Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Other:	First Name(s):
Last Name:	
Address line 1:	
Address line 2:	
Address line 3:	
Town:	County:
Post code:	
Daytime telephone:	Mobile:
Email address:	

**I prefer to be contacted (please tick) (a) directly [ ] (b) via my agent [ ] (c) both [ ]**

I understand:

1. that a copy of this petition, including any contact details which I have included but without my signature, will be placed on the parliamentary website and a hard copy will be made available to anyone who asks for it.
2. that a copy of this petition together with this sheet will be:
  - a. kept in the Private Bill Office and subsequently kept as a record of Parliament in the House of Lords Record Office (where it can be accessed by the public under the Freedom of Information Act 2000);
  - b. will be made available to the Parliamentary Agent responsible for the bill once my petition has been deposited in the Private Bill Office.
3. that the personal information supplied above and on the petition may be kept in a database by either or both Private Bill Offices. These databases may be used to store summaries of e-mails and/or conversations for the purpose of keeping track of procedural advice/information given to the parties or received from them. This information will only be shared between the Private Bill Offices unless prior permission has been obtained from the petitioner/agent concerned.



I agree to obey and observe the orders and practice of the House of Commons and any rules prescribed by the Speaker in relation to the proceedings on this petition.

Signature of petitioner/agent/member/officer: .....

**APPENDIX D: LIST OF ROLL A PARLIAMENTARY AGENTS**

<b>Name / address of Firm</b>	<b>Telephone/email</b>	<b>Partners / Roll A Agents</b>	<b>Clerks</b>
<b><i>Berwin, Leighton Paisner LLP</i></b> Adelaide House London Bridge London EC4R 9HA	020- 7760 1000 helen.kemp@blplaw.com	Helen Kemp	Mr Alan Rosamond
<b><i>Bircham Dyson Bell LLP</i></b> 50 Broadway Westminster London SW1H 0BL	020-7783 3437 pamthompson@bdb-law.co.uk	I H McCulloch P H Thompson E N W Brown	Mrs Pam Thompson
<b><i>Eversheds LLP*</i></b> 1 Wood Street London EC2V 7WS	020-7919 4500 monicapeto@eversheds.com	J A Durkin Ms M Peto S Collings	Mr D C White Mr D Slevin
<b><i>Pinsent Masons LLP</i></b> 30 Crown Place Earl Street London EC2A 4ES	020-7418 7000 robbie.owen@pinsentmasons.com	R J V Owen	
<b><i>Sharpe Pritchard LLP</i></b> Elizabeth House Fulwood Place London WC1V 6HG	020-7405 4600 parliamentary@sharpepritchard.co.uk	W A Lewis E Rh Thomas	Mrs D Baker-Cox
<b><i>Veale Wasbrough Vizards LLP</i></b> Barnards Inn 86 Fetter Lane London EC4A 1AD	020-7405 1234 rperry@vww.co.uk	R E Perry	
<b><i>Winckworth Sherwood LLP*</i></b> Minerva House 5 Montague Close London SE1 9BB	020-7593 5000 agorlov@wslaw.co.uk	Mrs A Gorlov H S Wiggs P M C F Irving	Mr David Walker

\*acting for HS2 Limited and therefore unable to act for petitioners objecting to the Bill

10 September 2014

## APPENDIX E: SPECIMEN LETTER OF AUTHORITY

I/We hereby authorise [Name of Agent] to act as Agent on behalf of (the) [Name of Petitioner(s) OR Petitioning Organisation] in all matters relating to the [Title of Bill].

[Signature]

### NOTE

The authority should be given in the form of a letter on the headed paper (if any) of the petitioner(s) or petitioning organisation and should be signed by the petitioner(s), or, in the case of an organisation, by an officer of the organisation normally authorised to execute documents on its behalf. ***A board resolution will also suffice.***

**APPENDIX F: FORM OF APPLICATION FOR ROLL B PARLIAMENTARY AGENTS (USUAL FORM)**

**ROLL “B”**

**[For Persons not solicitors and not previously registered applying in respect of Roll B.]**

FORM OF APPLICATION for A PERSON desirous of being registered as a PARLIAMENTARY AGENT for the purpose of opposing Bills<sup>2</sup> in the House of Commons.

To the Clerk of Bills

House of Commons

I,

not being a Solicitor nor having previously been registered as a Parliamentary Agent, and being actually employed in opposing a Bill, herewith submit in accordance with paragraph 11 of Speaker’s Rules for Parliamentary Agents, a certificate of respectability signed by

(being a Member of Parliament/ Justice of the Peace/ Barrister/ Solicitor<sup>\*)</sup>

and do hereby apply to have my name registered on Roll B, for the Session 2014 to 2015, as a Parliamentary Agent entitled to practice as such in opposing Bills.

(Signature)

(Date)

(Address)

*\*Delete as appropriate*

**APPENDIX G: CERTIFICATE OF RESPECTABILITY**

To the Clerk of Bills,

House of Commons

I,

being a Member of Parliament/ Justice of the Peace/ Barrister/ Solicitor\*

do hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge, information and belief,

is in every way a respectable person.

(Signature)

(Date)

(Address)

*\* Delete as appropriate*

**APPENDIX H: FORM OF APPLICATION FOR ROLL B AGENTS (SOLICITORS AND THOSE PREVIOUSLY REGISTERED)**

**ROLL “B”**

**[For Solicitors and those previously registered applying in respect of Roll B.]**

FORM OF APPLICATION for A PERSON desirous of being registered as a PARLIAMENTARY AGENT for the purpose of opposing Bills<sup>2</sup> in the House of Commons.

To the Clerk of Bills

House of Commons

I,

having previously been registered as a Parliamentary Agent, *or* being a solicitor, and being actually employed in opposing a Bill<sup>2</sup> do hereby apply to have my name registered on Roll B, for the Session 2014 to 2015, as a Parliamentary Agent entitled to practice as such in opposing Bills.

(Signature)

(Date)

(Address)