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SECOND ADDITIONAL PROVISION (AP2) TO THE HIGH SPEED RAIL (WEST MIDLANDS TO CREWE) BILL February 2019

Please find set out herewith our Parliamentary Petition in respect to Walton House Farm on behalf of Mr & Mrs R Plant, Mrs J Anderson and Mrs S Marginson.

1. **Background**

1.1 The petitioners in this case are an extended family and a family trust. We enclose the relevant plans CT-05 and CT-06 plans shown at Appendix A and Appendix B.

1.2 Richard and Hana Plant own Walton House Farm as shown edged red at Appendix A. It comprises their home, further let properties, buildings rented out for commercial use and a twenty acre livery stable business. There is also a 150,000 kW solar farm on land immediately over the route of HS2, a 45,000 kW roof mounted system and biomass boiler.

1.3 The family trust of Anderson, Marginson and Plant own the remainder land shown coloured red. This is under a farm business tenancy to Richard and Hana and is used for their equestrian business and some arable production. Importantly there is an Endurance 300,000 kW wind turbine producing a significant return, also shown on the plan at Appendix A.

2. **Issues**

2.1 AP2-003004 shown highlighted orange presents as a realignment of footpath diversion. This footpath is around 1500 metres in length. It impacts on the farm’s private drive, the paddocks immediately to the east of the house and takes pedestrians to the rear of the farmyard over a high sided farm accommodation bridge through further equestrian paddocks and to motorway, essentially between points A and E. The diversion equates to approximately 1550 metres from original line of path.

2.1.1 The farm drive has tight corners and high hedges hence conflict between pedestrians, horse and cattle lorries, tractors and machinery etc. Diversionary route around paddocks at point C takes footpath through equestrian paddocks where again there is conflict between pedestrians and horses. Concern also that accommodation bridge at points C1 and C2 may become a point of interest for train spotters etc.

**REQUEST**

Your petitioners request that the AP2 diversion be redverted as shown by the pink line F-G-H-E. This is estimated to be about the same length but removes all of the health and safety issues of pedestrians coming into contact with livestock, machinery, equipment etc and reduces considerably the impact on the privacy of the farm and its occupants.

2.2 You petitioners note also that AP2-003-005 highlighted green now refers to “provision of accommodation access”.

A416 (2)
REQUEST
Your petitioners request this accommodation access be removed. The farm drive was never intended as an access for third parties nor is it designed as such. Your petitioners request assurance that it will not be used during the construction period nor that it will be used post construction to gain access to the railway or the bridge. Your petitioners point out that there are a plethora of access tracks on the western side of the bridge designed for precisely that purpose so they do not see why their privacy should be impinged on further as it appears completely unnecessary.

We commend these comments to the Committee.