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Dear Mr Cole

HIGH SPEED RAIL (WEST MIDLANDS – CREWE) BILL – ADDITIONAL PROVISION 2 - HOUSE OF COMMONS SELECT COMMITTEE: PETITION HS2-AP2-032 – KINGS BROMLEY PARISH COUNCIL, KINGS BROMLEY ACTION GROUP, RICHARD CROSSE CHURCH OF ENGLAND PRIMARY SCHOOL

I am writing to you in my capacity as the Director of Hybrid Bill Delivery at HS2 Ltd, which is acting on behalf of the Promoter of the High Speed Rail (West Midlands-Crewe) Bill (‘the Bill’) currently before Parliament. I understand that you have a number of concerns about the impact of the proposals in Additional Provision 2 (AP2) to the Bill in the House of Commons and have submitted a petition on that basis.

I understand my colleagues met with you on 24 April 2019 to discuss the issues raised in your petition.

This letter sets out the Promoter’s position in relation to the issues you have raised and the measures identified to address your concerns.

Common Lane diversion

The Promoter is continuing to engage with Kings Bromley Parish Council, affected landowners and the local highway authority in regards to potential options regarding the closure of Common Lane.

Additional land for new pipework from the Kings Bromley South borrow pit for ground water recharge to Pyford Brook, Trent and Mersey Canal and Bourne Brook

I refer you to the Promoter’s response to request number 5 in your petition. Where the proposal is to exercise temporary use and occupation of land, the nominated undertaker would liaise with farmers or landowners as to his requirements for that land and the likely duration of the occupation and use of the land.

Lichfield Road Utility Compound

The Promoter has offered the following assurance to the affected landowner to relocate the utility compound and overhead line works subject to certain conditions:

“1.1 The Secretary of State will require the nominated undertaker to engage with the Petitioner
regarding the proposed relocation of the Utility Compound and land required for the Overhead Line Works to an alternative location at the Petitioner's Property, subject to the following conditions:

1.1.1 the nominated undertaker secures any necessary planning permission, consents and approvals for the use of an alternative location for the Utility Compound and Overhead Line Works for such purpose before the date nine months from the date of Royal Assent to the Bill (the nominated undertaker having used reasonable endeavours to secure such planning permission, consents and approvals as necessary within this timescale);

1.1.2 the nominated undertaker being satisfied that any alternative location is sufficient to accommodate the Utility Compound and Overhead Line Works;

1.1.3 any change to the Proposed Scheme design will not create any new or different environmental effects from those assessed in the Environmental Statement deposited with the Bill;

1.1.4 any change to the Proposed Scheme design can be made without prejudicing the safety, timely and economic delivery of the Proposed Scheme;

1.1.5 the proposed relocation of the Utility Compound and Overhead Line Works receives any required approvals from the local planning authority under Schedule 17 to the Bill;

1.1.6 the Petitioner as owner of the Property enters into an agreement in a form satisfactory to the nominated undertaker which:

   1.1.6.1 grants any and all necessary rights over the alternative location for the Utility Compound and Overhead Line Works sufficient to enable the nominated undertaker to use that land for the Utility Compound and Overhead Line Works; and

   1.1.6.2 provides that the consideration payable to the Petitioner for using the alternative location for the Utility Compound and Overhead Line Works shall be calculated as if the Secretary of State had done so in exercise of powers in the Bill.”

**Construction traffic on Crawley Lane and Common Lane**

The following assurances have been offered to another petitioner in relation to construction traffic on Crawley Lane and Common Lane repetitively:

“Crawley Lane construction traffic

The Promoter will require the nominated undertaker to restrict HS2 Large Goods Vehicles from using Crawley Lane except

- during the construction of Crawley Lane modifications shown on CT-05-202-R1; or
- in circumstances where it would not be reasonably practicable to use another route, for example for utilities works, or mitigation works; or
- in circumstances where it is required to do so by the relevant planning authority under the powers conferred on it by paragraph 6 of Schedule 17 to the Bill; or
- in the case of emergency or if directed to do so by the police or emergency services.”
“Common Lane construction traffic

The Promoter will require the nominated undertaker to restrict HS2 Large Goods Vehicles from using Common Lane between its existing junction with the A515 Lichfield Road and the Petitioner's property, Holly Cottage in connection with the construction of the Proposed Scheme, except

- in circumstances where it would not be reasonably practicable to use another route, for
- example for utilities works, or mitigation works; or
- in circumstances where it is required to do so by the relevant planning authority under the powers conferred on it by paragraph 6 of Schedule 17 to the Bill; or
- in the case of emergency or if directed to do so by the police or emergency services.”

I hope that the information set out above in relation to the issues raised in your petition gives you the comfort that you seek.

If you have any further questions in advance of your appearance before the Select Committee next week, please do not hesitate to contact Jeremy Croxall, Petition Advisor on [Phone number redacted] or jeremy.croxall@hs2.org.uk.

Yours sincerely

Oliver Bayne
Director, Hybrid Bill Delivery
High Speed Two (HS2) Limited