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Hybrid Bill Petition

House of Commons
Session 2017-19
Additional Provision to the High Speed Rail (West Midlands — Crewe) Bill
March 2018

Do not include any images or graphics in your petition. There will be an opportunity to present these later if you give evidence to the committee.

Your petition does not need to be signed.

Expand the size of the text boxes as you need.

1. Petitioner information

In the box below, give the name and address of each individual, business or organisation(s) submitting the petition.

David & Brenda Goostrey - 19 Newcastle Road (Petitioners representing residents)
John White — 1 Newcastle Road
Amy & Allan Bacon, (Orla & Seth Bacon Age 4 years) — 3 Newcastle Road
John & Astra White — 5 Newcastle Road
Bill & Sheila Fisher — 5 Newcastle Road (Annexe)
Mr & Mrs Ellam — 7 Newcastle Road
Neil Goodwin — 9 Newcastle Road
Charlotte & Matt - 11 Newcastle Road
Steve & Sarah Cotton - 15 Newcastle Road
Morgan Nind — 17 Newcastle Road
Ian & Jayne Beniston — 21 Newcastle Road
Betty Scally — 25 Newcastle Road
Sean & Julie Scally — 29 Newcastle Road
Mick & Roz Weedall — 31 Newcastle Road
Mr & Mrs Copeland — 33 Newcastle Road
Emma Degg — 122 Whitmore Road
John Bloor — 152 Whitmore Road

At a meeting on 28 February 2019, Swynnerton Parish Council resolved that they would offer their support and would have joined with us but for the need to petition in their own right on other matters.

In the box below, give a description of the petitioners. For example, "we are the owners/tenants of the addresses above"; "my company has offices at the address above"; "our organisation represents the interests of..."; "we are the parish council of...".

We are the owners/tenants of the addresses above.
2. Objections to the Additional Provision to the Bill

In the box below, write your objections to the additional provision to the Bill and why your property or other interests are specially and directly affected. Please number each paragraph.

Only objections outlined in this petition can be presented when giving evidence to the committee. You will not be entitled to be heard on new matters.

1. On 12 February 2019 the above residents received a letter from HS2 Ltd concerning their proposals to change a short length of Newcastle Road which is currently a two-lane road, to a four-lane road, potentially isolating the residents along this stretch of the A519 and putting their lives at risk. See Vol 2 CA3 Map Book Ref. CT-05-228-R4 and CT-06-228-R4.

2. Residents’ ability to leave and re-enter into their driveways safely will be severely compromised and a right turn out on to the A519 will be almost impossible across a busy 4-lane road which will be even busier with the projected volume of traffic from HS2 construction.

3. SES2 and AP2 ES Volume 2 — Community area 3, Stone and Swynnerton states at Item 5.19.25 - The amendment will give rise to a new likely residual temporary significant in-combination effect on approximately 16 properties on the A519 Newcastle Road, due to significant visual and HGV effects.

These amendments to the roads’ layout are not temporary. They are permanent changes which will affect the residents’ ability to get to work during rush hour, to access local shops, doctors’ surgery etc. and may isolate elderly residents and those with small children who are too scared to attempt it either by car or on foot.

4. There are currently dropped kerbs for pedestrians attempting to cross the A500 eastbound as it leaves the Hanchurch Interchange roundabout, joining the footpath on the A519 south to the A519 north. This enables residents to access the facilities of Clayton village on foot. Crossing six lanes where one is a dedicated left-turn filter eastwards on to the A500 will be difficult and hazardous.

5. Residents are concerned about the air quality both during and after the re-configuration of the roads here if the projected number of HGVs is accurate. The A500 junction with the roundabout and Newcastle Road has air quality monitoring equipment already installed.
3. What do you want to be done in response?

In the box below, tell us what you think should be done in response to your objections. You do not have to complete this box if you do not want to.

The committee cannot reject the Bill outright or propose amendments which conflict with the principle of the Bill. But it can require changes to the Government's plans in response to petitioners' concerns, which can take the form of amendments to the Bill or commitments by HS2 Ltd.

You can include this information in your response to section two 'Objections to the Additional Provision to the Bill' if you prefer. Please number each paragraph.

Consideration to be given to the safety of the local residents — Newcastle Road is currently heavily used, and a number of the residents have been the victims of road traffic collisions from traffic leaving the A500 Queensway onto the A519 Newcastle Road, a situation which will be made worse by these proposals. We would like to see -

A. A workable solution to enable residents to access and exit our property frontages easily and safely.

B. The A519 Newcastle Road restricted to 30mph for the length between the Hanchurch Interchange and the Hanchurch traffic lights.

C. Access across A500 Queensway East for pedestrians with the installation of on-demand traffic lights to enable safe crossing to Clayton Road (A519 North).

D. Reinstatement of the footpath after the construction of the new left-turn lane at the junction of the A519/A500 to the requested proposed crossing.

E. Installation of pedestrian height barriers for safe crossing over the A500 Queensway to access doctors, dentist, shops etc.

F. Constant monitoring of the air quality along Newcastle Road and, should the CO2/NOx gas emissions levels become unacceptably high, contingency plans to be made for re-routing HS2 HGV traffic.

G. Clearer maps and explanations from HS2 Ltd. It is difficult for residents to understand what is proposed from so little information and inexplicable maps.
If you have already petitioned against the High Speed Rail (West Midlands — Crewe) Bill, please give your petition number:

Next steps

Once you have completed your petition template please save it and go to our website to submit it during the petitioning period.

If you plan to submit your petition by post, email, or in person, please also complete the contact sheet and terms and conditions below.
Hybrid Bill Petition

House of Commons
Session 2017-19
Second Additional Provision (AP2) to the High Speed Rail (West Midlands to Crewe) Bill February 2019

Do not include any images or graphics in your petition. There will be an opportunity to present these later if you give evidence to the committee.

Your bill petition does not need to be signed.

Expand the size of the text boxes as you need.

1. Petitioner information

In the box below, give the name and address of each individual, business or organisation(s) submitting the petition.

Mrs Liz Harrington-Jones (Clerk to Swynnerton Parish Council)
6 The Crescent
Walton on the Hill
Stafford
ST17 OJZ

In the box below, give a description of the petitioners. For example, "we are the owners/tenants of the addresses above"; "my company has offices at the address above"; "our organisation represents the interests of..."; "we are the parish council of...".

We are the Parish Council of Swynnerton in Staffordshire covering the four Wards of Yarnfield, Swynnerton, Tittensor and Trentham representing some 3000 residents, small businesses and farms.

At a Parish Council Meeting on 22 February 2019 it was unanimously resolved that Swynnerton Parish Council would support Mr Keith Rails of Long Compton Farm, Swynnerton in his Petition.

Furthermore, it was similarly resolved at the same Meeting that Swynnerton Parish Council would support the Residents of Newcastle Road, Hanchurch in the Petition raised by Mrs Brenda Morris-Goostrey.
2. Objections to the Second Additional Provision (AP2) to the Bill

In the box below, write your objections to the Second Additional Provision (AP2) to the Bill and why your property or other interests are specially and directly affected. Please number each paragraph.

Only objections outlined in this petition can be presented when giving evidence to the committee. You will not be entitled to be heard on new matters.

a. The proposed road changes surrounding the Hanchurch interchange are of concern to Swynnerton Parish Council who believe that our residents are directly and especially affected by these Additional Provisions and echo the matters raised by the residents of Newcastle Road, whose Petition the Parish Council fully supports. See (SES2 & AP2ES Vol.2: Community Area Report — CA3: Stone and Swynnerton (dated February 2019) — para 5.19 and SES2 & AP2ES Vol 2: Map Book — CA3: Stone and Swynnerton (dated February 2019) — Map No. CT-05-228a-R4 and CT-06-228a-R4).

b. In addition, land taken from Ferndown Local Nature Reserve will result in the loss of a balancing pond which takes water run-off from the LNR preventing it from flooding the surrounding road network and is a unique area of pond/marshland habitat. See (SES2 & AP2ES Vol.2: Community Area Report — CA3: Stone and Swynnerton (dated February 2019) — para 5.19.23)

c. Whilst we are pleased with the acceptance by HS2 of the proposal by Swynnerton Parish Council to replace the T-Junction with a roundabout joining the Tittensor Diversion Road and the A51, the plans fall short of the necessary deflections to slow the traffic down on the A51 approaching the roundabout. See (SES2 & AP2ES Vol.2: Community Area Report — CA3: Stone and Swynnerton (dated February 2019) — para 5.14 and SES2 & AP2ES Vol 2: Map Book — CA3: Stone and Swynnerton (dated February 2019) — Map No. CT-05-225 [Construction Phase SES2 & AP2ES] and CT-06-225 [Proposed Scheme SERS2]
3. What do you want to be done in response?

In the box below, tell us what you think should be done in response to your objections. You do not have to complete this box if you do not want to.

The committee cannot reject the Bill outright or propose amendments which conflict with the principle of the Bill. But it can require changes to the Government’s plans in response to petitioners’ concerns, which can take the form of amendments to the Bill or commitments by HS2 Ltd.

You can include this information in your response to section two 'Objections to the Second Additional Provision (AP2) to the Bill' if you prefer. Please number each paragraph.

   a. **Matters raised by the residents of Newcastle Road in their Petition to be taken into consideration with a re-design of the proposed layout of Hanchurch Interchange to ensure vehicular and pedestrian safety and access.**

   b. A new balancing pond to be constructed within the Ferndown LNR to capture water run-off thus ensuring water does not cause flooding on the road network and minimum disruption to the habitat.

   c. The approaches to the roundabout from the A51 (East and West) be re-designed to ensure vehicles are slowed down prior to entering the roundabout, thus adding to the safety of road users both on the A51 and the Tittensor Diversion Road. The Petition raised by Mr Keith Rails of LCF makes suggestions which are worthy of further investigation and consideration particularly regarding the issues of gradient and deflection.

   d. Whilst mentioned previously by members of the public, other Petitioners and the Select Committee, documentation needs to be more user-friendly allowing those without technical training to understand fully the implications resulting from the proposals set out by HS2.

If you have already petitioned against the High Speed Rail (West Midlands to Crewe) Bill, please give your petition number.
Next steps

Once you have completed your petition template, save it and either email it to prbohoc@parliament.uk, post to Hybrid Bills Office, Houses of Parliament, London, SW1A OAA, or call 020 7219 3250 to arrange a time to deliver it in person.
Dear Mr and Mrs Goostrey

High Speed Rail (West Midlands - Crewe) Bill
Additional Provision 2
Petition Number - AP2-075 - Newcastle Road Residents
Your Petition - Right to be heard

The right to be heard

As you may know, in order for their petition against Additional Provision 2 to the High Speed Rail (West Midlands - Crewe) Bill ("AP2") to be heard as of right, petitioners must be able to show the Select Committee that their property or interests are directly and specially affected by AP2. The House of Lords Select Committee on the Phase One Bill encapsulated it in the following way:

"...an individual petitioner’s right to be heard as a right...depends on that petitioner establishing the prospect of direct and material detriment to his or her property interests, either by compulsory acquisition or by interference with his or her property rights which amounts to a common law nuisance, or some other interference which would be actionable if not authorised by Parliament."

The Select Committee may also, at its discretion, hear petitions from certain types of representative bodies and also from inhabitants of areas adversely affected by AP2 who are sufficiently representative.

The Select Committee procedure allows the Promoter – the Secretary of State for Transport – to object to a petition against AP2 being heard on the basis that it does not demonstrate that the petitioner’s property or interests will be directly and specially affected by AP2 and either that the Committee does not have a discretion to hear the petition or that, if there is such a discretion, it should not be exercised in that particular case. This is known as a “right to be heard” challenge (it was previously known as a “locus standi challenge”). More information is given in the enclosed Promoters’ note “The Right of Petitioners against Additional Provisions to be heard by the Commons Bill Committee” and the guidance note on the right to be heard procedure.
A full version of the precedents which are summarised at Appendix 2 of the Promoters' Note "The Right of Petitioners against Additional Provisions to be heard by the Commons Bill Committee" can be found following the link below.


Parliament's website includes Guidance on petitioning against additional provisions to the Bill. Under the heading in that guidance called "Should I petition", examples are given of petitions which are, or are not, likely to be heard by the Committee. A link to the guidance is set out below.


How this affects you

All the petitions against AP2 having been carefully considered, the Secretary of State, as Promoter, has decided to object to some of the petitions being heard, including yours. We therefore enclose a formal notice of objection to your petition.

The challenge before the Select Committee

There is a set procedure for right to be heard challenges which you – and the Secretary of State as Promoter – will have to comply with. Details are set out in the enclosed guidance note on the right to be heard procedure. You need to read that note in full as it affects your rights.

Attendance at the right to be heard challenge hearing

You need to attend the right to be heard challenge hearing in person or arrange for an agent to represent you if you wish the Select Committee to consider whether to hear your petition. The rules provide that if you decide not to attend or be represented, the Promoter's objection will be upheld. This would mean that your Petition would automatically not be heard or considered by the Select Committee.

The Select Committee will be in touch shortly to let you know the date on which the right to be heard challenge to your petition will be dealt with.

Yours faithfully

Winckworth Sherwood LLP

DT 020 7593 0364
DF 020 7593 5099
HS2wslocus@wslaw.co.uk
HOUSE OF COMMONS
SESSION 2017 – 19

HIGH SPEED RAIL (WEST MIDLANDS - CREWE) BILL

ADDITIONAL PROVISION 2

Notice of Objection
to the
right to be heard
of
Newcastle Road Residents

The Secretary of State intends to object to the above named Petitioners to be heard by the Select Committee of the House of Commons on their Petition against Additional Provision 2 to the Bill ("AP2") for the following reasons:

As a general rule, petitioners against AP2 only have the right to be heard by the Committee on AP2 if they are able to show that their property or interests are directly and specially affected by AP2 and therefore that they may suffer particular adverse effects beyond those felt by the public at large.

The Petitioners do not own or occupy land within the limits of AP2 as shown on the AP2 plans nor do they appear to have a property interest (for example, a right of way) which is affected or interfered with by AP2.

Therefore the Petitioners' petition does not show that their property and/or interests are directly and specially affected by AP2.

The Committee has a discretion under Standing Order 96 to allow inhabitants of an area which is adversely affected by AP2 to be heard. However, that only applies to inhabitants who show that they sufficiently represent the area or part of the area in question.

The Petitioners' petition does not show that the petitioner represents an area affected by AP2.

The points made in the Petition are also made in the petition of Swynnerton Parish Council who represent the area alleged to be adversely affected by AP2 and whose right to be heard is not being challenged by the Promoter.

The Petitioners have not therefore shown that they have a right to be heard and should not be allowed to appear under Standing Order 96.
High Speed Rail (West Midlands – Crewe) Bill

Guidance note on the ‘right to be heard’ procedure

31 January 2019
High Speed Two (HS2) Limited has been tasked by the Department for Transport (DfT) with managing the delivery of a new national high speed rail network. It is a non-departmental public body wholly owned by the DfT.

High Speed Two (HS2) Limited,  
Two Snowhill  
Snow Hill Queensway  
Birmingham B4 6GA  
Telephone: 08081 434 434  
General email enquiries: HS2enquiries@hs2.org.uk  
Website: www.gov.uk/hs2

High Speed Two (HS2) Limited has actively considered the needs of blind and partially sighted people in accessing this document. The text will be made available in full on the HS2 website. The text may be freely downloaded and translated by individuals or organisations for conversion into other accessible formats. If you have other needs in this regard, please contact High Speed Two (HS2) Limited.

© High Speed Two (HS2) Limited, 2019, except where otherwise stated.  
Copyright in the typographical arrangement rests with High Speed Two (HS2) Limited.

This information is licensed under the Open Government Licence v2.0.  
To view this licence, visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/ version/2.0 or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or e-mail: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk. Where we have identified any third-party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

Printed in Great Britain on paper containing at least 75% recycled fibre.
HIGH SPEED RAIL (WEST MIDLANDS - CREWE) BILL

ADDITIONAL PROVISION 2

The Promoter’s Guidance Note
on the ‘right to be heard’ procedure

Introduction

1. Petitioners against Additional Provision 2 to the High Speed Rail (West Midlands - Crewe) Bill ("AP2") have the right to have their petitions heard, and taken into account by, the Select Committee only if they can show that AP2 will have a direct and special effect on their property or interests. The rules relating to petitioning also enable the Select Committee, at its discretion, to hear petitions from certain types of representative body (for example, the local authority of an area affected by AP2) and also from inhabitants of any area affected by AP2 who are sufficiently representative. This right to be heard was previously called *locus standi* and it can be challenged.

2. There are procedural rules governing the way objections to a petitioner’s right to be heard must be dealt with. The purpose of this Guidance Note, which has been approved by the Private Bill Office in the House of Commons, is to explain the procedure.

3. This Guidance is intended for any petitioner against AP2 to whom the Secretary of State for Transport ("the Promoter") sends a formal Notice of Objection to the petitioner's right to be heard. The objection means that the Promoter believes that:

   - the petitioner does not have the right to have their petition against AP2 heard by the House of Commons Select Committee; and
   - either the Committee does not have a discretion to allow the petition to be heard or, if it has a discretion, that the discretion should not be exercised.

   The Select Committee will hear both sides of the argument and will then decide the position.

4. The relevant details regarding the right to be heard are in Standing Orders 90 to 102 of the Standing Orders of the House of Commons for Private Business. Standing Orders 91B, 95 and 96, which are most relevant, are set out in the Promoter’s Note on the right to be heard relating to additional provisions.

The Notice of Objection is important

5. The Select Committee will hear arguments on each petitioner’s right to be heard at a hearing. This hearing will be held in a committee room in the Houses of Parliament in London. The petitioner has the right to attend the hearing and make representations to the Select Committee. The petitioner can either do this themselves or through a representative.

6. A petitioner whose right to be heard has been challenged should note that if they personally, or their representative, fail to attend the hearing to make representations, the issue of whether the petitioner should be heard on the petition (either as of right or at the discretion of the Committee) will not be considered. If this were to happen it would mean that the petition against AP2 would not be heard and would not be taken into account by the Select Committee.

7. At the hearing it will be for the petitioner to make the case that they should be allowed to be heard on the petition either as of right or at the Committee’s discretion.
Before the hearing

8. The petitioner or their representative should receive two copies of the Notice of Objection and the Notice should include the petitioner’s name or the name of their representative.

9. The Select Committee decides the order in which to hold these hearings.

10. The petitioner will be informed of the time and date of the specific hearing that will deal with the Promoter’s objection to the petitioner’s right to be heard. The petitioner should contact the Committee’s programme officer if it is not possible to attend on that day or at that time so that an alternative time may be arranged.

11. **Not less than 2 working days before the hearing**, the petitioner must:

   - confirm in writing or by email ([prbohoc@parliament.uk](mailto:prbohoc@parliament.uk)) to the Private Bill Office of the House of Commons that they intend to appear at the hearing, giving their name and the name of any representative intended to appear on their behalf;
   
   - if facts are disputed, and when the petitioner appears before the Select Committee the petitioner intends to rely on any documents or written evidence about these facts, the petitioner should submit the documents or written evidence to the Private Bill Office and send copies to the Parliamentary Agents for the Promoter (the Promoter is also required to submit any evidence it proposes to use to that Office and the petitioner); and
   
   - give notice to the Private Bill Office and the Parliamentary Agents for the Promoter giving details of any witnesses the petitioner proposes to call at the hearing to give oral evidence (the Promoter is also required to give such notice to that Office and the petitioner).

12. Notices or documents must be received by the Private Bill Office by the deadlines referred to in paragraph 11:

   - by email to the Private Bill Office ([prbohoc@parliament.uk](mailto:prbohoc@parliament.uk)) by 5:00pm;
   
   - sent to the Private Bill Office by post (by recorded delivery service), the material being posted not less than 2 working days before the day on which it has to have arrived with them as required by paragraph 11 above; or
   
   - by delivery to the Private Bill Office at a time agreed by appointment (020 7219 3250) between 11:00am and 5:00pm.

13. Notices or documents must be received by the Parliamentary Agents for the Promoter by the deadlines referred to in paragraph 11:

   - by email to the Parliamentary Agents by 6:00pm;
   
   - sent to the Parliamentary Agents by post (by recorded delivery service), the material being posted not less than 2 working days before the day on which it has to have arrived with them as required by paragraph 11 above; or
   
   - by delivery to the Parliamentary Agents by 6:00pm.

---

1 Details of the Parliamentary Agents to whom the copies and other documents are to be sent will be given with the notice of objection.
2 House of Commons Private Bill Office, House of Commons, London, SW1A 0AA
The hearing

14. The order of proceedings at the right to be heard hearings is to be determined by the Select Committee but is expected to be:

- Statement from the petitioner explaining why they believe their property or interests are directly and specially affected by AP2 or why they should be allowed a discretionary right to be heard, using evidence if wanted;

- Statement from the Promoter explaining why it believes the petitioner does not have a right to be heard;

*Either party may use evidence of disputed facts that has been notified under paragraph 11.*

- Questions from the Select Committee (these can be asked at any time);

- Questions from either party to the other (if the Committee allows, and to be asked though the Committee Chair);

- If called on by the Committee, short closing remarks by the petitioner; and

- Committee decision (which may be postponed until the end of a sitting or until a later day if there are several petitioners to be heard).

*The Select Committee may alter this procedure.*

The Select Committee’s decision

15. The Select Committee will decide whether the petitioner should be granted a right to be heard in full (in which case the full petition will be heard), granted on a limited basis (which means the Committee will hear only parts of the petition) or refused altogether (in which case the petition will cease to form part of the proceedings on AP2).

16. The Committee may give its decision orally or in writing. The Committee’s decision on the petitioner’s right to be heard is final – there is no right of appeal.

17. If the petitioner is granted a full or limited right to be heard by the Select Committee, the petitioner will be able to make their case on the petition (or, if appropriate, part of it) at a later stage in the Select Committee proceedings.

18. *It should be noted that under the rules, a petitioner’s right to be heard will automatically be disallowed by the Select Committee if the petitioner or their representatives do not come to the hearing at the appointed day and time.*

Further information


31 January 2019